

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

OS REGISTRY

Intelligence Community Staff

DCI/ICS-87-0771
29 January 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM: Executive Secretary
SCI Forum

SUBJECT: Minutes of Fourth Meeting, SCI Forum, 12 January 1987

1. The following is to record events taking place at the SCI Forum meeting of 12 January 1987 in Room 6100, Department of Justice, from 1300-1345 hours. Attendees were:

Gary Stoops, FBI

Clark Dittmer, State

Maynard Anderson, OSD

CIA

Gene Bacher, DoE

Bill O'Donnell, Treasury

George Henriksen, Navy

Mark Pelensky, Navy

NSA

NSA

Claudia A. Smith, Army

William C. Cody, Air Force

DIA

D. Jerry Rubino, DoJ

ICS

ICS

ICS

CIA

Maurice Ralston, OSD

DIA

ICS

Thomas W. Chace, State

CIA

ICS

Agencies not represented were the Marine Corps (Col Breede) and the NSC (Mr. Major).

2. Distributed at the meeting were the following documents:

a. Memo (DCI/ICS-86-0977 dated 17 December 1986) from D/CCISCMS to the NSC Staff containing a statement of SCI Forum support for a National Polygraph Program.

b. Memo (M5-0124-86 dated 22 December 1986) from D/S, NSA, to Chairman, SCI Forum, relative to language amending paragraph 35h of DCID 1/19.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

25X1 3. The moderator opened the meeting with a New Year's greeting to members. The minutes of the 2 December meeting were accepted with the understanding that one change would be identified in the next minutes. The cited change is noted in paragraph 4, lines 20 and 21, which described activities in which other agencies encountered difficulty in obtaining CIA release authority for material controlled under ORCON in accordance with DCID 1/7. The sentence on lines 19 to 21 should read as follows, with corrected terminology underlined:..."It had earlier been ascertained that some elements seeking relief had been calling the (CIA) Directorate of Operations 24 hour Watch Office rather than the Intelligence Dissemination Branch of the Directorate of Operations." [redacted]

25X1 4. The NSA memo dated 28 November 1986 was then raised. This document recommended changes in DCID 1/19, paragraphs 24 and 27b., relating respectively to portion marking and insertion of language to include "photographic media and video tape." The Forum members approved the revisions as proposed. [redacted]

25X1 5. The next item taken under consideration was the DIA memo dated 1 December 1986 requesting review of certain paragraphs in DCID 1/19 that corresponded to text in the DoD (M-1) SCI Policy Manual. The referenced paragraphs in the M-1 manual are unclassified. Forum members were asked to review the corresponding portions in the DCID that are classified CONFIDENTIAL to determine if they too could be issued as unclassified. Members agreed to this with the exception of paragraph 33.b.(wrapping procedures for SCI material in transmittal mode). The CIA representative offered the justification for retention of the CONFIDENTIAL classification, which was accepted by DIA and other members of the Forum. [redacted]

25X1 6. The NSA memo dated 22 December 1986 was raised for discussion next. This memo provided members with NSA's position and rationale for revision of paragraph 35.h. of DCID 1/19. The paragraph refers to SCI contractor visits and the security procedures and responsibilities connected with such visits. This matter has been under discussion for a lengthy period, but it was resolved at this meeting when the OSD representative agreed with the language offered in NSA's memo, making approval unanimous. Mr. Anderson requested the record show that while he did not disagree with the NSA memo content, he felt that when the Intelligence Community members all fully participate in the 4C system, it will serve to greatly facilitate the whole access certification process. [redacted]

25X1 7. Next agenda item was the consideration of DCID 1/7 for approval, based on the draft revision circulated in May 1986 and subsequent issues discussed and resolved in both SECOM and Forum business meetings. The principal issue presenting an obstacle to approval was the NOCONTRACT portion of the DCID (paragraph 8.c.). The DIA and CIA representatives have conducted meetings in attempts to reach agreement on this portion of the DCID. Negotiations have reached the point where DIA is writing a proposal for [redacted] of CIA who will review for comment and/or concurrence. The Forum moderator asked if the DCID could be issued, withholding the paragraph relating to the NOCONTRACT controls. He was informed that such was not a viable option. Since the members have agreed to all other aspects of the DCID, it was decided to press

25X1 ahead on reissuance of the document, with the understanding that paragraph 8.c. would likely be revised in the near future. It was agreed that the DCI or ADCI would be so advised when the document was presented for approval, and that the DCID itself could be reissued with a covering comment from the DCI (or ADCI) reflecting that paragraph 8.c. has been contentious but was being published with the understanding that CIA and DIA were under mandate to agree on acceptable revision of paragraph 8.c. within 90 days or the DCID would stand with that portion unchanged. []

8. New Business

25X1 The Department of Justice representative announced that his office has been busy with arrangements for Independent Counsel Lawrence Walsh who has been named to probe the Iranian arms sale matter. First, DOJ was charged to find suitable office space for Mr. Walsh. Four different locations were under consideration, and Mr. Walsh had selected space in the Watergate. Mr. Rubino cited some of the difficulties relative to the Watergate, not the least of which is the presence of foreign official missions neighboring the selected space. Second, Mr. Rubino cited the urgent need for a security officer for assignment to the office of the Independent Counsel. He asked members present to announce his need within their respective organizations. He thought the job might be attractive to an annuitant who was somewhat expert in SCI management, document control, and security. He anticipated the job could last for one year and the salary range was "open". He opined that the pay might be equivalent to a GS-13 or possibly higher. Mr. Rubino added that there would be a great deal of classified material involved in the job, including that relating to SAPs, CA and SCI. A SCIF would be established in the Watergate, likely with 24-hour armed protective officers. []

25X1 Besides the Watergate suite, Mr. Walsh has offices in New York City. Mr. Rubino didn't know if both locations would have classified information, but that possibility exists; it could require a second security officer or the one officer shuttling back and forth between the two locations. He closed his remarks on this matter by saying any candidates should call his office for an interview appointment. He emphasized that he envisioned problems in access and custody. He wants anyone submitted as a candidate to be a person presently thoroughly familiar with SCI. He does not want someone who needs on-the-job training. []

25X1 9. Following Mr. Rubino's remarks, the OSD representative announced that he too was seeking qualified security officers. He has several positions in the GS (GM) 13 to 15 range that are vacant, and while they have been actively seeking candidates to fill the positions, they have not been successful. Any members desiring more information or with potential candidates can call Mr. Anderson's office. []

25X1 10. The Air Force representative asked [] if the time might now be right to begin considering a charter for the Forum. Col Cody offered that a charter would serve to institutionalize the working groups and associated concepts. [] said he felt the group is not ready for a charter until the National Security Council situation is stabilized. He said

any members with specific input for charter should see or call the Executive Secretary. [redacted]

11. The Executive Secretary announced that in an effort to update the SCI Forum membership roster, all members should review the attached roster and call in any changes to CCISCMS or bring changes to the next meeting. It was also announced that members should call in their intent to attend meetings in a timely manner so the access roster can be prepared for the organization hosting the meeting. [redacted]

12. At the end of this meeting, dates for the next two Forum gatherings were selected, 9 February and 9 March. Meetings are both to be held at 1300 hours at the same location, Room 6100, Main Justice, 10th and Constitution, N.W. Attendees are requested to telephone name(s) and organization of intended attendees to [redacted] by COB 5 February 1987. [redacted]

Attachment:
a/s

Page Denied

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Denied